

Comparison of different methods for estimates of vegetation biophysical parameters applying in-situ data and Sentinel-2, Proba-V data

Radoslaw Gurdak^{1,2}, Katarzyna Dabrowska-Zielinska², Maciej Bartold^{1,2}, Zbigniew Bochenek²

University of Warsaw, Poland

² Institute of Geodesy and Cartography, Warsaw, Poland

INTRODUCTION

The presented work is performed and designed to be executed under the PhD studies. The work is application of the data $\frac{2}{3}$ **Project ''Land Products** ESA tor Validation Characterisation in and support to Proba-V, S-2 and S-3







missions".

The goal of the PhD thesis is to compare two methods for estimates of vegetation biophysical parameters, namely: statistical methods and PROSAIL radiative transfer model. The source data is composed of the in-situ data and satellite data acquired from Sentinel-2 Proba-V satellites and (2016-2017).

GROUND MEASUREMENTS

- Spectral responses by the ASD FieldSpec4 Hi-Res
- Chlorophyll fluorescence (with OSP5p+)
- Leaf Area Index (with LAI 2200 Plant Canopy Analyser)
- Soil moisture (with TRIME Field Measurement Devices)
- APAR (with AccuPar 80 instrument)
- Carbon balance (with chamber method) •
- Radiance temperature (with EVEREST AGRI-THERM II)
- Chlorophyll (with FieldScout CM 1000 Chlorophyll Meter)
- Type of vegetation cover and its development stage
- Wet and dry biomass, water content in (in a laboratory)











Reflectance by ground measurements and Proba-V

Winter wheat 2016-06-25



3.3

All ground measurements have been collected during the satellite overpass. The size of the Elementary Sampling Unit (ESU) have been 10 m for single measurements point.

In order to better characterize the whole field the cross-transects have been designed.



NDI



by ground measurements for sugar beets



At the first stage of the work it was decided to make correlation analysis between particular vegetation indices derived from satellite images and ground measured LAI values, in order to find if significant relationship exists between these two parameters. The analysis was done separately at each date of the growing season for **winter wheat**.

Following approach used for 2017 data regression the derived equations from correlation analysis were applied for estimating LAI values on basis particular of the vegetation Next, indices. satellite based LAI values were compared with the ground control data, in order to estimate precision of LAI determination.





in-situ data and Sentinel-2 data for winter wheat 2016-06-25

In order to assess impact of ground resolution on values of vegetation indices and hence on precision of LAI estimates a separate study has been done, **applying** Proba-V data and Sentinel-2 images for this purpose. Within this study over 100 pixels from Proba-V at 100 m resolution were selected within various wheat fields and next Sentinel-2 pixels at 10 m resolution were precisely matched with them.

A preliminary analysis was made using **PROSAIL model**. PROSAIL combines the optical properties model **PROSPECT** with canopy radiative transfer model SAIL. The mod are coupled so that the simulated leaf reflectar and transmittance from PROSPECT are fed i the SAIL model, completed with information about soil optical properties and illumination/observation geometry.

| the | Model | Input variable | Units |
|------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| leaf | | Chlorophyll content | µg/cm2 |
| the | PROSPECT-5 | Carotenoid content | µg/cm2 |
| lels | | Brown pigment content / fraction | _ |
| nce | | brown leaf area | |
| nto | | Equivalent Water Thickness | |
| mo | | Dry matter content | g/cm2 |
| out | | Leaf structure parameter / structure | |
| tion | | coefficient | - |
| | | | |

LAI WINTER WHEAT JUNE

19/20 2017 PROBA-V SENTINEL-2

Point 1.1

POINT

■LAI_GROUND ■LAI_S-2_NDVI ■LAI_Proba-V_NDVI

4.5

4.0

1.0



0.65 0.70 0.75 0.80 0.85 0.90

NDVI S2 MEAN

and Proba-V based NDVI

NDVI PROBA-V = 0.25 + 0.697*NDVI S2

Correlation r = 0.912

0.95

0,90

0,85

E, 0,80

Q 0,75

0,70

0,65

0,60

Point 5.1

| | Bands\Sensor | Sentinel-2 MSI | ASD FieldSpec4 DIFF [%] | PROSAIL model DIFF [%] |
|------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | B1 (443 nm) | 0.0078 | -88 | -133 |
| | B2 (490 nm) | 0.0119 | -39 | -49 |
| | B3 (560 nm) | 0.0387 | 2 | -28 |
| | B4 (665 nm) | 0.0233 | 18 | 29 |
| | B5 (705 nm) | 0.0743 | 24 | -21 |
| | B6 (740 nm) | 0.2941 | 7 | -12 |
| | B7 (783 nm) | 0.4389 | 4 | 11 |
| | B8 (842 nm) | 0.463 | 3 | 20 |
| | B8a (865 nm) | 0.4728 | 3 | 23 |
| 1,00 | B9 (945 nm) | 0.4763 | 14 | 56 |
| | B11 (1610 nm) | 0.1462 | 22 | 92 |
| | $\overline{B}12 (2190 \text{ nm})$ | 0.0728 | 31 | 89 |

